

[Home](#)

[Corporate](#)

[Media](#)

[Farming & Research](#)

[In Your Region](#)

[Publications](#)

[Skills & Education](#)

[About NZ Meat](#)

[About NZ Lamb](#)

[Trade Directory](#)

[Economics & Statistics](#)

[Associates & Councils](#)

[Issues](#)

[Related Sites](#)

[Contact Us](#)

[Site Map](#)

## Animal Identification and Traceability

### Animal Identification and Traceability On-Line Submission Form

Field marked with a \* are required

#### Making an on-line submission

You may make an on-line submission by filling in the form below and clicking the SUBMIT button. You will receive instant confirmation that your submission has been received, and an email containing your submission selections will be sent to your nominated email address. **Please note:** Once you have clicked the SUBMIT button, you will not be able to make changes to your submission.

Search Keywords

Name : \*

Organisation/Company : \*

Address : \*

Phone Number :

Fax Number :

Email Address : \*

Privacy Act - Summary  
of submissions : \*

- I do not wish to be identified  
 I have no objection to being identified

Areas of interest -  
Please indicate your  
main areas of interest  
relevant to animal  
identification and  
traceability systems  
(tick one or more boxes)

- Cattle/deer owner/manager  
 Other livestock sector (e.g. pigs,  
sheep, etc)  
 Animal products exporting sector  
 Transport sector  
 Animal products processing sector

as applicable) : \*

- Stock and station agent
- ID system service provider
- Industry association
- Database service provider
- Other (please specify below)

Other areas of interest :

1. What existing animal identification systems do you use (e.g. tags, readers, recording schemes)? :

AHB mandatory tagging system for NPMS and on farm management including direct to slaughter tags.

2. What purpose do you currently have for these systems? :

NPMS, ASD forms, stock movement from property, management records, DeerSelect (Industry Genetic Evaluation programmes)

3. Do you support the General Principles and Recommendations of the Animal Identification and Traceability Working Group? :

- Yes
- No
- Not Sure

Comments :

4. To what extent do you agree that the following are key drivers for the enhanced animal identification and traceability system? (refer to section 3.0, page 13). Please select a rating for each statement.

- Biosecurity : 5 - Strongly Agree
- Food Safety : 4 - Agree
- Market Access : 5 - Strongly Agree
- On Farm Management : 3 - Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Other Commercial Benefits : 2 - Disagree

Comments :

**5. Do you agree that the provision of core, base information to a national identification and traceability system should be mandatory (refer to section 3.2, page 16-17)? :**

- Yes
- No
- Not Sure

**Comments :**

**6. Do you agree that the mandatory datasets set out in 3.2 cover the core information that is needed? :**

- Yes
- No
- Not Sure

**Comments :**

**7. What other data do you think should be included in the mandatory datasets? :**

**Proposed model for Cattle and Deer Identification and Traceability**

(refer to section 3.3 and 3.6, page 17-21)

**8. Do you support the following concepts?**

**• The goal of 48 hour traceback :**

- Yes
- No
- Not Sure

**• Unique numbers for animals (a) :**

- Yes
- No
- Not Sure

**• Unique numbers for farms/premises (b) :**

- Yes
- No
- Not Sure

**• Allocation of numbers by a single body (d) :**

- Yes
- No
- Not Sure

- **Standardisation of animal ID devices (e) :**
  - Yes
  - No
  - Not Sure
- **Centralised database (d) (f) :**
  - Yes
  - No
  - Not Sure
- **The process for issuing numbers (g) (h) :**
  - Yes
  - No
  - Not Sure
- **Process for notifying movements (i) :**
  - Yes
  - No
  - Not Sure
- **Use of TRACEY to validate slaughter (j) :**
  - Yes
  - No
  - Not Sure
- **Periodic notification of on-property livestock losses to update databases for dead and missing animals (l) :**
  - Yes
  - No
  - Not Sure
- **Standalone governing body (m) :**
  - Yes
  - No
  - Not Sure
- **The ownership of the database being a custodial trust :**
  - Yes
  - No
  - Not Sure

Comments :

Standardisation of Animal ID devices, but not to a specific manufacturing or supply company - standard systems & types of hardware - but competitive on quality and price provided minimum standards are exceeded.

9. Do you support the proposed definitions for the following?

- **Movement :**
  - Yes
  - No
  - Not Sure

- **Person in Charge :**  Yes  
 No  
 Not Sure

- **Non-contiguous properties :**  Yes  
 No  
 Not Sure

**10. Do you support the following movements being recorded on TRACEY?**

- **Where there is a change of ownership :**  Yes  
 No  
 Not Sure

- **Animals moving direct to slaughter :**  Yes  
 No  
 Not Sure

- **Between non-contiguous properties under the same management :**  Yes  
 No  
 Not Sure

- **All movements except between contiguous land parcels under the same management :**  Yes  
 No  
 Not Sure

- **Other (specify below) :**  Yes  
 No  
 Not Sure

Please specify :

**Ownership, Access, Governance and Funding**

*(refer to section 3.5 and 3.6, page 20-23)*

**11. What structure do you think is the most appropriate for managing the database on behalf of industry?**

Please rank in order of preference 1 = most preferred

**Custodial trust :** 1

- Incorporated society : 5 .
- Crown : 4 .
- Industry/Crown body : 2 .
- Industry body : 3 .
- Other (specify below) : - .
- Please specify :

12. Do you agree with the recommendations regarding control of access to the database? :

- Yes
- No

If no - what would you prefer? :

13. What would you consider would be a realistic and fair way of allocating costs for the proposed national animal identification and traceability system? :

Direct allocation to beneficiary based on Crown acknowledgement of biosecurity importance and the value of market access.

14. What rules should underpin the system that is developed?

Mandate using regulations :

- Yes
- No
- Not Sure

Reach industry agreements/MOU :

- Yes
- No
- Not Sure

Keep the system voluntary :

- Yes
- No
- Not Sure

Other (specify below) :

- Yes
- No
- Not Sure

Comments :

**Next Steps**

(refer to section 4.0, page 24)

**15. Do you support the introduction of the proposed enhanced animal identification and traceability system on a voluntary basis prior to becoming mandatory? :**

- Yes  
 No  
 Not Sure

Comments :

**16. Is the timetable proposed realistic, i.e. voluntary programme introduced by 1 October 2006 and mandatory programme for cattle and deer introduced by 1 October 2007? :**

- Yes  
 No  
 Not Sure

Comments :

Very optimistic; add 2-3 years to voluntary programme and develop strong links to EU, AUS, Canada and their systems and evaluation.

**17. What other things need to be considered in the proposed model? :**

\* Better description and study of Crown involvement and cost share.  
 \* Detailed evaluation & trial of new & emerging technologies

*Thank you for your time in completing this submission*

**ANIMAL IDENTIFICATION AND TRACEABILITY WORKING GROUP  
July 2005**

[← back](#)